

A Fundamental Theorem of Space-times

E. M. Monte

Universidade de Brasília, Departamento de Matemática

Brasília, DF. 70910-900 and

Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Departamento de Matemática

Campina Grande, Pb. 58109-000

and

M. D. Maia*

Universidade de Brasília, Departamento de Matemática

Brasília, DF. 70910-900

February 7, 2008

Abstract

The fundamental theorem of submanifolds is adapted to space-times. It is shown that the integrability conditions for the existence of submanifolds of a pseudo-Euclidean space contain the Einstein and Yang-Mills equations.

preprint UnB/MAT/FM-M495

pacs 02.40 =m, 04.20.Cv, 11.10.Kk

1 Introduction

The fundamental theorem for submanifolds states that a d -dimensional manifold may be isometrically and locally embedded in a D -dimensional space

*E-Mail: maia@mat.unb.br

$\mathcal{M}_D$ if and only if its metric, twisting vector and the extrinsic curvature satisfy the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations. Although the application of this theorem to space-times has been known for a long time, its physical applications remain largely speculative [1, 2, 3, 4],

In a previous paper [5] (hereafter refereed to simply as **I**), it was shown that a given space-time may have different embeddings with the same dimension. Therefore, we would have a conflicting situation involving a well known mathematical property of the space-times and some basic physical principles that hold in the space-times. For example, a perfectly causal space-time may exhibit closed time-like geodesics when an improper local embedding is considered [6]. To fix this signature ambiguity we need to have a true 1:1 embedding with the least number of embedding dimensions.

Another property shown in **I**, is that the torsion or twisting vector (To avoid confusion with torsion in Einstein-Cartan geometry we opted to use the designation of "twisting vector" instead of torsion vector) induces a connection in space-time (called the twisting connection) which transforms like a gauge potential under a subgroup of the embedding symmetry. The curvature associated with such potential is related to the extrinsic curvature by Ricci's equations. This means that two of the fundamental equations of submanifolds, Gauss' and Ricci's equations, have similar structures, namely they describe the curvature tensors of the Levi-Civita and the twisting connections respectively as algebraic functions of the extrinsic curvature¹:

$$R_{ijkl} = b_{i[k}b_{j]l} - b_{i[l}b_{j]k}, \quad (1)$$

$$F_{ij} = 2g^{mn}b_{n[i}b_{j]m}, \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, Codazzi's equations has a different meaning and it read as

$$D_{[j}b_{k]i} = 0 \quad (3)$$

where D_i is the covariant derivative associated with the twisting connection A_i .

In the present note we show that the Einstein and Yang-Mills equations constitute a subset of (1), (2), with the extrinsic curvature playing the role of a source field, while (3) has the character of a primary constraint. Finally, we eliminate the extrinsic curvature among (1) and (2), obtaining a four-dimensional foliation of space-times where each leaf is a solution of the

Einstein-Yang-Mills equations, with a possible hint to a “geometrodynamical unification of the fundamental interactions”.

2 Involutive Connections

Let the signature of the embedding space be (p, q) . Since the tangent space of the embedded space-time is Minkowski’s space-time, (with signature $(3, 1)$), it follows that the orthogonal space has an isometry group $SO(p - 3, q - 1)$ which is a proper subgroup of the homogeneous embedding symmetry $SO(p, q)$.

As in **I**, let L^{AB} be the Lie algebra generators of $SO(p - 3, q - 1)$. To this Lie algebra and to the metric g_{AB} there is an associated Clifford algebra with generators E^A and identity $E^0 = 1$ such that

$$E^{(A} E^{B)} = g^{AB} E^0, \quad \gamma L^{AB} = [E^A, E^B], \quad \nabla_i E^A = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_i L^{AB} = 0 \quad (4)$$

where γ is a normalization factor to be adjusted latter. Equation (4) suggests that the Clifford algebra plays the role of a square root of the Lie algebra.

A given distribution $\{\xi_i\}$ on a manifold $\mathcal{M}_D$, is said to be Involutive if $[\xi_i, \xi_j] = \phi_{ij}^k \xi_k$, where ϕ_{ij}^k are some functions in $\mathcal{M}_D$. The local Frobenius theorem states that an involutive distribution $\{\xi_i\}$ is also integrable [7]. In particular, an involutive distribution of independent vector fields integrate as a submanifold of $\mathcal{M}_D$ [8], [9].

Now consider a distribution associated with a connection Γ_i , defined in $\mathcal{M}_D$ by the respective covariant derivative $\mathcal{D}_i$. We say that the connection Γ_i is involutive if for a set of independent vector fields $\{X_k\}$ we have

$$[\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j] X_k = \phi_{ijk}^l X_l \quad (5)$$

where ϕ_{ijk}^l are functions on $\mathcal{M}_D$. In general Γ_i has a non zero curvature given by the the Lie product $[\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j]$. Therefore, the Frobenius theorem for connections may be regarded as an statement on the curvature of that connection. Let us apply this concept to two particular cases: The Levi-Civita connection of $\mathcal{M}_D$ and the twisting connection A_i described in **I**.

Lemma 1 *The Levi-Civita and the twisting connections are integrabel only if the Codazzi equation (3) holds.*

Let us start with the two basic equations for the existence of a four-dimensional embedded space-time in a D-dimensional flat space $M_D(p, q)$

$$\nabla_j \mathcal{Y}_{;i}^\mu = -g^{MN} b_{ijM} \mathcal{N}_N^\mu, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla_k \mathcal{N}_A^\mu = -g^{mn} b_{kmA} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu + g^{MN} A_{kAM} \mathcal{N}_N^\mu \quad (7)$$

Taking the covariant derivative of (6) and after exchanging the indices and subtracting we obtain

$$[\nabla_k, \nabla_j] \mathcal{Y}_{;i}^\mu = -2g^{MN} b_{i[jM;k]} \mathcal{N}_N^\mu + 2g^{MN} b_{i[jM} \mathcal{N}_{N;k]}^\mu$$

or, after using (7),

$$[\nabla_k, \nabla_j] \mathcal{Y}_{;i}^\mu = 2g^{MN} \left(\nabla_{[k} b_{j]iM} - g^{PQ} b_{i[jQ} A_{k]PM} \right) \mathcal{N}_N^\mu - 2g^{mn} g^{MN} b_{i[jM} b_{k]mN} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu. \quad (8)$$

Introducing the notation

$$D_{Mk}^Q = \delta_M^Q \nabla_k - g^{PQ} A_{kPM}, \quad (9)$$

the expression (8) may be written as

$$[\nabla_k, \nabla_j] \mathcal{Y}_{;i}^\mu = 2g^{MN} D_{M[k}^Q b_{j]iQ} \mathcal{N}_N^\mu - 2g^{mn} g^{MN} b_{i[jM} b_{k]mN} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu. \quad (10)$$

As we see, ∇_i is not involutive because the presence of the term in $\mathcal{N}^\mu$ in (10). Therefore, if we impose the condition

$$D_{M[k}^Q b_{j]iQ} = 0, \quad (11)$$

we obtain

$$[\nabla_k, \nabla_j] \mathcal{Y}_{;i}^\mu = \phi_{ijk}^n \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu, \quad (12)$$

with

$$\phi_{ijk}^n = 2g^{mn} g^{MN} b_{i[jM} b_{k]mN}.$$

In this case, the expression of Riemann tensor is $[\nabla_k, \nabla_j] \mathcal{Y}_{;i}^\mu = g^{mn} R_{ijkm} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu$ and comparing with (12), we obtain

$$R_{ijkm} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu = -2g^{MN} g^{mn} b_{i[jM} b_{k]mN} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu.$$

After replacing $g^{MN} = E^{(M} E^{N)}$, we obtain Gauss' equation (1).

To see that equation (11) is the same as (3) recall that the torsion vector is a Lie algebra defined object $A_i = A_{iAB}L^{AB}$ where L^{AB} are the Lie algebra generators of group $SO(p-1, q-3)$. The associated covariant derivative is then given by $D_i = \nabla_i - A_i$ so that

$$D_i X = \nabla_i X - [A_i, X]$$

where $[A_i, X]$ is defined in the same algebra as that of X . Therefore, for $b_{ij} = b_{ijA}E^A$, we have

$$D_k b_{ij} = b_{ijA;k}E^A - A_{kCB}b_{ijA}\frac{8}{\gamma}g^{A[B}E^{C]}$$

or, taking $\gamma = 8$ in (4), it follows that

$$D_k b_{ij} = (\delta_C^A \nabla_k - g^{AB} A_{kCB})b_{ijA}E^C = D_{Ck}^A b_{ijA}E^C$$

therefore,

$$D_{[k} b_{i]j} = D_{A[k}^Q b_{i]jQ} E^A. \quad (13)$$

which, except by a sign is the left hand side of (11).

Next consider the covariant derivative D_i . Since $\mathcal{N}^\mu = \mathcal{N}_A^\mu E^A$ belongs to the Clifford algebra, then it follows that

$$D_i \mathcal{N}^\mu = \mathcal{N}_{A;i}^\mu E^A - A_{iMN} \mathcal{N}_A^\mu g^{A[N} E^{M]} = D_{jM}^A \mathcal{N}_A^\mu E^M \quad (14)$$

Using Weingarten's expression in (7) with the notation (9),

$$D_{jA}^N \mathcal{N}_N^\mu = -g^{mn} b_{jmA} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu \quad (15)$$

so that (14) becomes

$$D_i \mathcal{N}^\mu = -g^{mn} b_{imM} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu E^M = -g^{mn} b_{im} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu \quad (16)$$

and the second covariant derivative of $\mathcal{N}^\mu$ is

$$D_i D_j \mathcal{N}^\mu = -g^{mn} b_{jm} \mathcal{Y}_{in} - g^{mn} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu D_i b_{jm}$$

Therefore,

$$D_i (D_j \mathcal{N}^\mu) - D_j (D_i \mathcal{N}^\mu) = [D_i, D_j] \mathcal{N}^\mu = 2g^{mn} \mathcal{Y}_{,n[j}^\mu b_{i]m} + 2g^{mn} \mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu D_{[j} b_{i]m}$$

Or, after using Gauss' equation (6),

$$[D_i, D_j]\mathcal{N}^\mu = 2g^{mn}g^{MN}b_{m[iM}b_{j]n}\mathcal{N}_N^\mu - 2g^{mn}D_{[j}b_{i]m}\mathcal{Y}_{,n}^\mu$$

Now, imposing Codazzi's equation (3) we obtain

$$[D_i, D_j]\mathcal{N}^\mu = 2g^{mn}g^{MN}b_{m[iM}b_{j]n}\mathcal{N}_N^\mu \quad (17)$$

This last expression may also be written in terms of components as

$$([D_i, D_j]\mathcal{N}_A^\mu)E^A = 2g^{mn}g^{MN}b_{m[iA}b_{j]nM}\mathcal{N}_N^\mu E^A$$

so that

$$[D_i, D_j]\mathcal{N}_A^\mu = \phi_{ijA}^N \mathcal{N}_N^\mu \quad (18)$$

where we have denoted

$$\phi_{ijA}^N = 2g^{mn}g^{MN}b_{m[iA}b_{j]nM} \quad (19)$$

Therefore, expression (18) says that D_i is involutive only after we apply (3). ■

Since we cannot cancel $\mathcal{N}^\mu$ in (18) the explicit expression for $[D_i, D_j]$ is more conveniently derived from the definition: $[D_i, D_j] = -(\nabla_i A_j - \nabla_j A_i - [A_i, A_j])$. In terms of components this reads as

$$[D_i, D_j]_{AB}L^{AB} = -(\nabla_i A_{jAB} - \nabla_j A_{iAB})L^{AB} - A_{iMN}, A_{jPQ}f_{AB}^{MNPQ}L^{AB}$$

where we have used the structure constants of the Lie algebra of $SO(p-3, q-1)$ given in **I**:

$$[L^{MN}, L^{PQ}] = f_{AB}^{MNPQ}L^{AB} = 2\delta_A^{[N}g^{m][P}\delta_B^{Q]}L^{AM}$$

Therefore, we obtain Ricci's equation (2)

$$F_{ij} = [D_i, D_j] = 2g^{mn}b_{m[iA}b_{j]nB}L^{AB} = \frac{1}{2}g^{mn}b_{m[i}b_{j]n}$$

3 The Fundamental Theorem for Space-times

The fact that the twisting vector A_i transforms as a gauge field suggests that a Yang-Mills theory of geometrical nature is contained in the embedding of the space-time.

Lemma 2 *The torsion vector of a space-time is a Yang-Mills field with respect to the group $SO(p-1, q-3)$, defined by the equations*

$$D^i F_{ij} = \mathbf{j}_j^{geom.}, \quad (20)$$

$$D^i F^{jk} + D^k F^{ij} + D^j F^{ki} = 0, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\mathbf{j}_j^{geom.} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} g^{mn} ([b_{mi}, D_k b_{jn}] - [b_{mj}, D_k b_{in}]). \quad (22)$$

In fact, since $[D^i, [D^j, D^k]] = D^i F^{jk}$, the second equation is a direct consequence of Jacobi's identity:

$$[D_i, [D_j, D_k]] + [D_k, [D_i, D_j]] + [D_j, [D_k, D_i]] = 0$$

On the other hand, taking the covariant divergence of F_{ij} and using $D_k g^{mn} = 0$, we find that

$$D^i F_{ij} = g^{ik} D_k (g^{mn} b_{m[i} b_{j]n}) = \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} g^{mn} ([b_{mi}, D_k b_{jn}] - [b_{mj}, D_k b_{in}]). \quad (23)$$

Therefore, with the definition (22), we obtain (20). ■

Notice that D_i contains A_i and therefore this connection cannot be eliminated from $\mathbf{j}_j$, even taking into account Codazzi's equation. Consequently, the solution of equation (22) in general depends on an integration over compact surfaces, so that $\mathbf{j}_i^{geom.}$ may be associated to a topological charge. The covariant derivative of the first equation gives

$$D^j D^i F_{ij} = D^j \mathbf{j}_j^{geom.} = 0$$

which says that $\mathbf{j}_j^{geom.}$ is a conserved current. In the following we will see that the current $\mathbf{j}_i^{geom.}$ is related to the source of the gravitational field.

The mean curvature h and k is the scalar extrinsic curvature of the space-time, are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} h^2 &= g^{AB} h_A h_B, & h_A &= g^{ij} b_{ijA}. \\ k^2 &= g^{AB} b_{miA} b_B^{mi} = b_{miA} b^{miA}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Lemma 3 *The metric of the embedded space-time is necessarily a solution of*

$$G_{ij} = R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ij} = \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{geom.} \quad (25)$$

where

$$\mathbf{t}_{ij}^{geom.} = b_{imA}b_j^{mA} - h_A b_{ij}^A - \frac{1}{2}(k^2 - h^2)g_{ij} \quad (26)$$

This is a direct consequence of the contractions of Gauss equation (1):

$$R_{jk} = g^{il}R_{ijkl} = 2g^{MN}g^{mn}b_{j[mM}b_{n]kN} \quad (27)$$

and

$$R = g^{jk}R_{jk} = 2g^{MN}g^{jm}g^{kn}b_{j[mM}b_{n]kN} = h^2 - k^2 \quad (28)$$

The expression (25) follows immediatly. ■

Since by hypothesis our embedded manifold is a solution of Einstein's equations for a given source, $G_{ij} = 8\pi G \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{matter}$, then, (25) is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{t}_{ij}^{geom.} = 8\pi G \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{matter} \quad (29)$$

which is an algebraic (non-differentiable) equation relating b_{ij} to the matter fields.

As an example consider Schwarzschild's space-time with the six dimensional embeddings given Fronsdal and in **I**. The Ricci flat condition implies that $\mathbf{t}_{ij}^{geom.} = 0$, or $h = k$. In this case the gauge group of the twisting connection is $SO(2)$ so that A_i is an electromagnetic field of geometrical nature. In the case of the Kasner embedding also given in **I**, we would have a gauge group $SO(1, 1)$.

Another simple example is given by a five dimensional flat embedding space. In this case the twisting vector does not exist and a general expression of b_{ij} is given by [10]:

$$b_{ij} = \lambda g_{ij} + (4\lambda - h)u_i u_j$$

where λ depends on the distribution of matter in space-time and $g^{ij}u_i u_j = -1$. This severely restricts the type of matter allowed in the space-time. For

example, in a space-time filled with dust, $\mathbf{t}_{ij}^{matter} = \rho u_i u_j$, where ρ is the matter density, In this case (29) gives (taking $8\pi G = 1$)

$$g^{mn}b_{im}b_{jn} - hb_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(k^2 - h^2)g_{ij} = -\rho u_i u_j$$

so that $k^2 - h^2 = -\rho$, which is satisfied if $b_{ij} = \left(h - \frac{\rho}{h}\right)g_{ij}$ and $h^2 = 4\rho/3$, so that the extrinsic curvature is in direct proportion to the square root of the density:

$$b_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{3}} g_{ij}$$

■

Theorem 1 (Fundamental Theorem) .

Given a space-time of general relativity corresponding to a source $\mathbf{t}_{ij}^{matter}$, then it has a unique local and isometric embedding in a pseudo-Euclidean space $M_D(p, q)$, with the least number of dimensions, provided there is a Yang-Mills gauge field A_i , ($D > 5$), with gauge group $SO(p-3, q-1)$ satisfying Ricci's equation (2) and a symmetric second order tensor b_{ij} satisfying Codazzi's equation (3) such that

$$\mathbf{t}_{ij}^{geom.} = \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{matter} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{j}_i^{geom.} = \mathbf{j}_i^{matter}$$

In fact, any Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold can in principle be embedded in some pseudo-Euclidean space and in particular this applies to space-times.

From the results of **I** we have seen that if the number of embedding dimensions is the smallest possible, we have an well defined embedding without ambiguities in the signature. In this case, the twisting vector transforms as a Yang-Mills fields with group $SO(p-3, q-1)$ and lemma 1 says that it obeys a Yang-Mills like equation with current $\mathbf{j}_i$ given by (22). On the other hand, the metric g_{ij} is by definition the gravitational field and from lemma 2 its source is related to b_{ij} by (29).

Reciprocally, suppose we have are given a real tensor g_{ij} , a $SO(p-3, q-1)$ -Lie algebra vector A_i and a Clifford algebra tensor b_{ij} such that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ij} &= \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{matter} \\ D^i F_{ij} &= \mathbf{j}_j^{matter} \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

With the solutions of these equations we may write the respective curvature tensors R_{ijkl} and F_{ij} . Replacing these curvatures in equations (1),(3) and (2), we obtain the system of equations to determine b_{ij} :

$$D_{[j}b_{k]i} = 0, \quad b_{[ik}b_{lj]} - b_{[jk}b_{li]} = R_{ijkl}, \quad \text{and} \quad g^{mn}b_{n[i}b_{j]m} = \frac{1}{2}F_{ij}$$

Therefore, we obtain a complete set of quantities g_{ij} , A_{iAB} and b_{ijA} satisfying the integrability conditions for the embedding of the space-time in $M_D(p, q)$. ■

4 Space-time Foliations

In this section we explore the possibility that the b_{ijA} field may be eliminated between the equations (20) and (25).

Consider the hypothetical situation where physics is not necessarily confined to the four-dimensional hypersurface of $\mathcal{M}_D$. That is, by some high energy process, some particles which would be otherwise trapped in the space-time surface will now escape this constraint and move along the extra dimensions. This is similar to the model proposed by Rubakov & Shaposhnikov [11]: Since our embedding space is flat a (classical) particle in the vicinity of space-time may be described by the coordinates

$$\mathcal{Z}^\alpha(x^i, x^A) = \mathcal{Z}^\alpha(x^i, 0) + x^A \mathcal{N}_A^\mu$$

The result is a (multiparameter) foliation of M_D with parameters x^A , where each leaf has the metric (the same used in **I**)

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{Z}_\alpha^\mu \mathcal{Z}_\beta^\nu \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{ij} + g^{MN} A_{iM} A_{jN} & A_{iM} \\ A_{jN} & g_{AB} \end{pmatrix} \quad (31)$$

where we have denoted

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{ij} &= g^{mn}(g_{im} - x^A b_{imA})(g_{jn} - x^B b_{jnB}) \\ A_{iM} &= x^A A_{iMA} \\ g_{AB} &= \epsilon_A \delta_{AB}, \quad \epsilon_A = \pm 1 \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Since $M_D(p, q)$ is flat, the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian for γ gives (after using an analogy with the Kaluza-Klein metric ansatz)

$$\mathcal{R}(\gamma)\sqrt{\gamma} = \tilde{R}(\tilde{g})\sqrt{\tilde{g}} + \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}F^{ij}F_{ij} = 0 \quad (33)$$

The classical equations obtained from this Lagrangian are the Einstein-Yang-Mills equations for the metric $\tilde{g}_{ij}$

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}\tilde{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{t}_{ij}(F) \quad (34)$$

where in the right hand side we have the energy momentum tensor of the A_i field and all contractions are made with the metric $\tilde{g}_{ij}$ of the 4-dimensional solutions. ■

These results appear to indicate that when properly defined, the embedding is not only compatible with four dimensional physics but also that it lies at the root of the fundamental equations of physics in space-time. We were originally motivated by a possible extension of [12], to determine if Einstein and Yang-Mills equations would be sufficient to determine the embedding of the space-time. As we have seen, the Codazzi equation (3) plays an essential role in the process and it cannot be dispensed with. After this equation is imposed, Gauss and Ricci's equations become simply conceptually equivalent as expressions of the respective curvatures in terms of the space-time extrinsic curvature. Equations (20), (21) are formally identical to the Yang-Mills equations relative to the gauge group $SO(p-1, q-3)$, whose source is derived solely from the extrinsic geometry of space-time.

The last result is somewhat akin to geometrodynamics where an electromagnetic potential of geometrical nature is postulated [13]. As we see, such potential may be replaced by the twisting connection A_i . Here the Yang-Mills-Wheeler geons would be four dimensional compact solutions of (34). There are strong analogies with Kaluza-Klein theory, although the fundamental postulates are distinct from that theory. The expression (31) replaces the Kaluza-Klein metric ansatz and the four dimensional space-time is a deformation (a leaf of the foliation) of the original one.

References

- [1] C. Fronsdal, Rev. Mod. Phys. **37**, 221, (1965).
- [2] D. W. Joseph, Phys. Rev. **126**, 319 (1962).
- [3] Y. Ne'emman & J. Rosen, Annals of Physics **31**, 391 (1965).
- [4] A. Friedman, Jour. Math. Mech. **10**, 625, (1961)
- [5] M.D. Maia & Edmundo M. Monte, *The Twisting Connection of Space-time*, Preprint, Universidade de Brasilia UnB/Mat/FM-m003/95.
- [6] C. Fronsdal, Phys. Rev. **116**, 778 (1959)
- [7] W. M. Boothby *An introduction to Differentiable Manifolds and Riemannian Geometry* Academic Press, (1975)
- [8] S. Sternberg, *Lectures on Differential Geometry* 1st ed. p. 130. Prentice Hall (1964)
- [9] H. Jacobowitz, Tensor N.S. **39**, (1982)
- [10] P. Szekeres, Nuovo Cimento **43**, 1062 (1966)
- [11] V. A. Rubakov & M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett **125B**, 139 (1983)
- [12] M. Dubois-Viollete, Phys. lett. **131B**, 323 (1983)
- [13] J. A. Wheeler, *Geometrodynamics* Academic Press, NewYork (1962)

Footnotes

1) The notation and index convention is the same as in **I**: Lower case Latin indices run from 1 to 4 and capital Latin indices run from 5 to D, where D is the smallest possible embedding dimension. All Greek indices run from 1 to D. The indicated antisymmetrization applies only to the indices of the same kind near the brackets.